

99663

REMI

PERFORMANCE OF REMEDIAL RESPONSE ACTIVITIES AT UNCONTROLLED HAZARDOUS WASTE SITES

U.S. EPA CONTRACT NO. 68-01-6939

CAMP DRESSER & MCKEE INC.

ROY F. WESTON, INC.
WOODWARD-CLYDE CONSULTANTS
CLEMENT ASSOCIATES, INC.
ICF INCORPORATED
C. C. JOHNSON & ASSOCIATES, INC.



PLANNING AND CONTRACTS
MANAGEMENT UNIT

SUPPLEMENTAL
QUALITY ASSURANCE PROJECT PLAN
FOR
ADDITIONAL GROUNDWATER SAMPLING
SKINNER LANDFILL
WEST CHESTER, OHIO

June 1986

Prepared for:
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Emergency and Remedial Response Branch
Region V
230 South Dearborn Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604

This document has been prepared for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency under Contract No. 68-01-6939. The material contained herein is not to be disclosed to, discussed with, or made available to any person or persons without the prior expressed approval of a responsible official of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

Document No.: 130-RI1-OP-CWPN-1

Work Assignment No.: 31-5L73.0



CAMP DRESSER & McKEE INC.

11 East Adams Street, Suite 1100 Chicago, Minois 60603 312 786-1313

QUALITY ASSURANCE BRANCH

JUL 3 5 1986

ENVIRONMENT SERVICES DIVISION

July 1, 1986

Mr. Gregory Vanderlaan Regional Project Officer U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 230 South Dearborn Street Chicago, Illinois 60604

Mr. Gene Wong Remedial Project Manager U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 230 South Dearborn Street Chicago, Illinois 60604

Subject:

Quality Assurance Project Plan for the Skinner Landfill Site

Work Assignment No.: 31-5L73.0 EPA Contract No.:

68-01-6939

Document No.:

130-RI1-OP-CWPN-1

Gentlemen:

Camp Dresser & McKee is pleased to submit this Supplemental Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) for the Remedial Investigation/ Feasibility Study (RI/FS) at the Skinner Landfill site in the town of West Chester, Ohio for approval. This document has been developed as a supplement to the Skinner QAPP, Document No. 130-WP1-OP-CVYR-1 (June 1986).

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me directly or the REM II Site Manager, R. Michael Bort, P.E.

Very truly yours,

CAMP DRESSER & MCKEE INC.

Hawthorne, nion vice President

egionVV Manager

cc: U. Joiner, Contracting Officer, U.S. EPA

S. Hooper, Project Officer, U.S. EPA

N. Willis, Regional Coordinator, U.S. EPA

PERFORMANCE OF REMEDIAL RESPONSE ACTIVITIES AT UNCONTROLLED HAZARDOUS WASTE SITES (REM II)

U.S. EPA CONTRACT NO.: 68-01-6939

SUPPLEMENTAL

QUALITY ASSURANCE PROJECT PLAN (QAPP)

FOR

ADDITIONAL GROUNDWATER SAMPLING

FOR

SKINNER LANDFILL

WEST CHESTER, OHIO

DOCUMENT NO.: 130-RI1-OP-CWPN

WORK ASSIGNMENT NO.: 31-5L73.0

Approved: R. Michael Bort, P.E. REM II Site Manager, RFW

Approved:

REM II Region V Manager, CDM

Approved:

David F. Doyle

4/25/84

Operations Manager

Approved:

Gene Wong

U.S. EPA Remedial Project Manager

Reviewed:

Curtis Ross

U.S. EPA Region V

Director, Central Regional Laborator

Approved:

James H. Adams, Jr U.S. EPA Region V

Quality Assurance Office

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUPPLEMENTAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROJECT PLAN FOR ADDITIONAL GROUNDWATER SAMPLING SKINNER LANDFILL WEST CHESTER, OHIO

Section	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
BACKGROUND	1
PURPOSE	ı
GROUNDWATER SAMPLING ACTIVITIES	
Locations Sample Types Procedures Project Organization and Responsibility Operational Responsibility Laboratory Responsibility QA Responsibility	2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3
HEALIH AND SAFETY	4
SAMPLE ANALYSIS	5
SCHEMILE	5

INTRODUCTION

This Supplemental Quality Assurance Project Plan revises the analytical parameters for the residential well and second round of groundwater sampling activities for the Skinner Landfill site in West Chester, Ohio. This document has been developed as a supplement to the Skinner QAPP, Document No. 130-WP1-OP-CVYR-1 (June 1986) and is intended to be used as a companion document to the original QAPP.

BACKGROUND

The Skinner QAPP (April 1986) calls for sampling and analysis of on-site groundwater monitoring wells and surrounding off-site residential wells for a variety of parameters. The list of analytical parameters for residential wells included four non-HSL pesticide compounds (hexachloronorboradiene, octachlorocyclopentene, heptachloronorborene, chlordene), four minerals (alkalinity, chloride, fluoride, sulfate), and five nutrients (ammonia, TKN, TOC, nitrate-nitrite, total phosphorous).

Review of the Skinner QAPP by the U.S. EPA Quality Assurance Office raised questions regarding the need to analyze for the above parameters in residential wells when they were not requested for analysis in groundwater monitoring wells. Subsequent to receipt of these U.S. EPA comments, the sampling program for the residential wells and second and subsequent rounds of groundwater sampling, hereafter referred to as the second round, has been reviewed and revised as indicated below.

PURPOSE

Review of the analytical parameters selected for analysis in the on-site groundwater and residential well samples has resulted in changes to the sampling and analysis program which are outlined in this Supplemental QAPP.

Specifically, the Supplemental QAPP revises the original QAPP in the following manner:

- o The four non-HSL pesticide compounds included in the residential well analytical protocol will be added to the analytical protocol for the second round of groundwater samples from on-site wells.
- o The number of minerals in the residential well analytical protocol is reduced to three (alkalinity, chloride, and sulfate), and these three parameters will be added to the analytical protocol for the second round of groundwater samples from on-site wells.
- o The number of nutrients in the residential well analytical protocol is reduced to two (ammonia and nitrate-nitrite), and there two parameters will be added to the analytical protocol for the second round of groundwater samples from on-site wells.

By altering the existing QAPP in this manner, the results of the second round of groundwater samples will be directly comparable to residential well samples for all requested parameters. This plan delineates procedures used for field sampling and laboratory analysis required to meet this goal.

GROUNDWATER SAMPLING ACTIVITIES

Locations

The locations of the monitoring wells from which groundwater samples will be collected are shown in Figure 1. A complete list of residential wells to be sampled is provided in Table 1. All samples will be collected from existing wells.

Sample Types

All samples to be collected as part of this QAPP will be from residential and groundwater monitoring wells.

Procedures

Water sampling procedures to be used for the collection of the second round of groundwater sampling and residential well sampling are described in Sections 4.5 and 4.6 in the Sampling and Analysis Plan of the Skinner Landfill QAPP. All sampling and support activities will conform to the requirements and protocol described in that document.

PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND RESPONSIBILITY

Camp Dresser & McKee (CDM), as prime contractor, has overall responsibility for all phases of the RI at the Skinner Landfill site. Roy F. Weston, Inc. (Weston) is a REM II subcontractor to CDM. Weston will perform the field investigations and prepare the RI report. Weston will also perform the development, screening and evaluation of remedial action alternatives; develop the conceptual design of the selected action; and prepare the related reports. CDM will provide administrative oversight and QA/QC for all deliverables. Clement Associates, Inc. and ICF, Inc., which are also REM II subcontractors to CDM, will provide specialty services in the areas of risk assessment and community relations, respectively. All four firms will provide project management as appropriate to their responsibilities. All deliverables will be issued by CDM.

Operational Responsibility

Operational responsibilities are those involving execution and direct management of the technical and administrative aspects of this project. The following responsibilities have been assigned for the RI at Skinner Landfill:

- o Remedial Project Manager (RPM) Gene Wong, U.S. EPA, Region V, ERRB
- o REM II Region V Manager John W. Hawthorne, REM II, CDM
- o Site Manager R. Michael Bort, P.E., REM II, Weston
- o Field Manager Mark Hutson, REM II, Weston
- o Principal Investigator RI Mark Hutson, REM II, Weston

<u>Iaboratory Responsiblity</u>

Laboratory responsibilities are those involving the performance of analytical services, the preparation of Special Analytical Services (SAS) requests and/or field laboratory procedures, and the assessment of analytical data including review of tentatively identified compounds. The following responsibilities have been assigned for the RI at Skinner Landfill:

- RAS and SAS from Contract Laboratory Program Charles T. Elly, U.S. EPA, Region V, CPSM-CRL
- o Analysis of Private Well Samples Central Regional Laboratory Curtis Ross, U.S. EPA, Region V, CRL-Director
- o Preparation of SAS Requests Earl Hanson, REM II, Weston
- o Data Assessment for RAS and SAS from CLP Contract Program Management Section, CRL
- o Data Assessment of Analytical Services from CRL QC Coordinator, CRL
- o Review of Tentatively Identified Compounds Earl Hanson, REM II, Weston Mark Hutson, REM II, Weston

QA Responsibility

Quality Assurance (QA) responsibilities are those involved with monitoring and reviewing the procedures used to perform all aspects of

this project including data collection, analytical services, and report preparation. Primary responsibility for project quality rests with the Site Manager. Ultimate responsibility for project quality rests with CDM. Specific QA responsibilities for the RI at Skinner Landfill have been assigned as follows:

- o Overall QA for REM II Activities John W. Hawthorne, REM II, CDM
- o Overall QA for CLP/CRL Activities
 Quality Assurance Office, U.S. EPA, Region V
- o QA for RAS from CLP Support Services Branch, OERR, EPA HQ EMSL Las Vegas Contract Program, Management Section, CRL
- QA for SAS from CLP
 Quality Assurance Office, U.S. EPA, Region V
- o QA for Analytical Services from CRL Quality Assurance Office, U.S. EPA, Region V QC Coordinator, CRL
- Performance and Systems Audits of RAS from CLP
 U.S. EPA, EMSL-Las Vegas
- o Performance and Systems Audits of CRL Quality Assurance Office, U.S. EPA, Region V QC Coordinator, CRL
- o Systems Audit of Field Activities Edward A. Need, REM II, Weston
- o Weston QA Review Glen Johnson, REM II, Weston
- o CDM QA Review
 David Horsefield, P.E., REM II, CDM
 National Program Management Office, REM II, CDM
- o QA/QC Summaries for Revised RI and FS/CD Reports Kurt Stimpson, REM II, Weston

HEALTH AND SAFETY

Site workers for these tasks will adhere to the Skinner Landfill Health and Safety Plan REM II Document No. 130-WP1-OP-BBJS-3. The plan specifies Level C personnel protection with Level D contingency for groundwater sampling and Level D personnel protection for residential well sampling.

SAMPLE ANALYSIS

Investigative samples collected from each well will be analyzed for the parameters outlined in Tables 2 and 3. Blank and duplicate samples will be collected at a frequency of one per ten investigative samples and analyzed for the investigative parameters. At selected wells, sufficient volume (three times the investigative sample volume) will be collected and submitted for matrix spike duplicate analysis of the organic parameters.

Samples collected from residential wells will be sent to the Central Regional Laboratory (CRL) for analysis. Samples collected from groundwater monitoring wells will be sent to the Contract Laboratory Program (CLP). Analysis parameters for residential well samples analyzed by the CRL and groundwater samples analyzed by the CIP are shown in Appendix 1. SAS forms for non-RAS parameters to be analyzed by CLP are included in Appendix 2.

SCHEDULE

Field activities to be performed under this QAPP are anticipated to commence during the last week of July 1986 and continue for approximately two weeks. A 30-day turn-around time has been assumed for receipt of analytical data from the CLP laboratory, a Technical Memorandum (TM) summarizing this sampling activity will be submitted within 8 weeks after receipt of these results. A summary of this activity will be included in the RI report.

APPENDIX 1

ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS FOR RESIDENTIAL WELL SAMPLES ANALYZED BY CRL

AND

MONITORING WELL SAMPLES ANALYZED BY CLP

METHOD DETECTION LIMITS FOR ORGANICS FROM CRL

VOLATILE COMPOUNDS

VOLATILE COMPOUNDS PARAMETER	CAS #	METHOD* DETECTION LIMIT (ug/l)	SPIKE LEVEL IN REAGENT WATER (ug/l)	CONTROL* LIMITS (ug/1)
				3-3/-/
BENZENE	71-43-2	1.5	10	8-12
BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	75-27-4	1.5	10	8-12
BROMOFORM	75-25-2	1.5	10	8-12
BROMOMETHANE	74-83-9	10.0	10	1-20
CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	56-23-5	1.5	10	8-12
CHLOROBENZENE	108-90-7	1.5	10	8-12
CHLOROETHANE	75-00-3	1.5	10	8-12
2-CHLOROETHYL VINYL ETHER	110-75-8	1.5	10	8-12
CHLOROFORM	67-66-3	1.5	10	8-12
CHLOROMETHANE	74-87-3	10.0	10	1-20
DIBROMOCHLOROMETHANE	124-48-1	1.5	10	8-12
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	75-34-3	1.5	10	8-12
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	107-06-2	1.5	10	8-12
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	75-34-4	1.5	10	8-12
trans-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	156-60-5	1.5	10	8-12
1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	78-87-5	1.5	10	8-12
cis-1,3-DICHLOPROPROPENE	10061-01-5	2.0	10	8-12
trans-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	10061-02-6	1.0	10	8-12
ETHYL BENZENE	100-41-4	1.5	10	8-12
METHYLENE CHLORIDE (1)	75-09-2	1.0	10	8-12
1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	79-34-5	1.5	10	8-12
TETRACHLOROETHENE	127-18-4	1.5	10	8-12
TOLUENE (1)	108-88-3	1.5	10	8-12
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	71-55-6	1.5	10	8-12
1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE	79-00-5	1.5	10	8-12
TRICHLOROETHENE VINYL CHLORIDE	79-01-6 75-01-4	2.0	10	8-12
ACROLEIN	107-02-8	10.0 100.0	10 300	1-20 200-400
ACETONE (1)	- 67-64-1	75.0	300	200-400
ACRYLONITRILE	107-13-1	50.0	300	250-350
CARBON DISULFIDE	75-15-0	3.0	10	7-13
2-BUTANONE	78-93-3	(50)	100	50-150
VINYL ACETATE	108-05-4	15.0	15	1-30
4-METHYL-2-PENTANONE	108-10-1	(3)	20	16-24
2-HEXANONE	519-78-6	(50)	150	100-200
STYRENE	100-42-5	1.0	10	8-12
m-XYLENE	108-38-3	2.0	10	8-12
o-XYLENE (2)	95-47-6	2.0		0-12
p-XYLENE (2)	106-42-3	2.5	20	7-13
A			£0	,-10

^{*} IN REAGENT WATER

1) COMMON LABORATORY SOLVENT - BLANK LIMIT IS 5x METHOD DETECTION LIMIT

2) THE o-XYLENE AND p-XYLENE ARE REPORTED AS A TOTAL OF THE TWO

Method Detection Limits for RAS Organics from CLP

•			ection Limits*
Volatiles	CAS Number	Low Waters ug/L	Low Soil/Sediment B
VOISCORT		48/2	OE/AE
1. Chloromethane	74-87-3	10	10
2. Bromomethane	74-83-9	10	10
3. Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	10	10 /
4. Chloroethane	75-00-3	10	10
5. Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	. 5	5
6. Acetone	67-64-1	10	10
7. Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0		
8. 1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	5	5 5 · 5
9. 1.1-Dichloroethane	75-35-3	5	. 5
10. trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	5	5
11. Chloroform	67-66-3	5	5
12. 1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	5	5 5
13. 2-Butanone	78-93-3	10	10
14. 1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	5	5 ·
15. Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	5	5
16. Vinyl Acetate	108-05-4	10	10
17. Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	5	
18. 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	5	5 . 5 .5
	78-87-5	. 5	.5
20. trans-1,3-Dichloropropens	10061-02-6	5	5
21. Trichloroethene	79-01-6	5 ·	5
22. Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1		· 5
23. 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	5 5 5	5
24. Benzene	71-43-2		5 5
25. cis-1.3-Dichloropropene	10061-01-5	5	\$

		Dete	ction Limits*
	CAS Munham		Low Soil/Sediment
Volatiles	CAS Number	ug/L	ug/Kg
26. 2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	110-75-8	10	10
27. Bromoford	75-25-2	5	5 ,
28. 2-Hexanone	591-78-6	10	10
29. 4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	10	10
30. Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	3	5
31. Toluene	108-88-3	5	5
32. Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	5	5
33. Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	5	5
34. Styrene	100-42-5	3	. S
35. Total Xylenes		5	5 ,

^{*}Hedium Water Contract Required Detection Limits (CRDL) for Volatile HSL Compounds are 100 times the individual Low Water CRDL.

Miedium Soil/Sediment Contract Required Detection Limits (CRDL) for Volatile HSL Compounds are 100 times the individual Low Soil/Sediment CRDL.

			ection Limits*
A A A B 49		For Paters	Low Soil/Sediment
Sezi-Volatiles	CAS Number	ug/L	ug/kg
36. Phenol	108-95-2	10	330
37, bis(2-Chloroethyl) ether	111-84-4	10	330
38. 2-Chlorophenol	95-57-8	10	330
39. 1',3-Dichlorobenzene	541-73-1	10	330
40. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene	106-46-7	10	330
41. Benzyl Alcohol	100-51-6	10	33 0
42. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	95-50-1	10	330
43. 2-Methylphenol	95-48-7	10	330
44. bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)	•		
ether	39638-32-9	10	330
45. 4-Hethylphenol	106-44-5	10	330
46. N-Nitroso-Dipropylamine	621-64-7	10	330
47. Hexachloroethane	67-72-1	10	330
48. Nitrobenzene	98-95-3	10	330
49. Isophorone	78-59-1	10	330
50. 2-Nitrophenol	88-75-5	10	330
51. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	105-67-9	10	330
52. Benzoic Acid	65-85-0	50	1600
 bis(2-Chloroethoxy) 	•		
bethane	111-91-1	10	330
54 2,4-Dichlorophenol	120-83-2	10	330
55. 1,2,4-Trichlorobensene	120-82-1	10	330
36. Kaphthalene	91-20-3	10	330
57. 4-Chloroeniline	106-47-8	10	330
58. Hexachlorobutadiene	87-68-3	10	330
59. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol			
(para-chloro-meta-creso)		10	330
60. 2-Hethylnsphthalene	91-57-6	10	330
61. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene		10	330
62. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	88-06-2	. 10	330
63. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	95-95-4	50	1600

		Deta	ction Limits*
0.545701011100	010 MNan	TON MOTOL	Low Soil/Sediment
Semi-Volatiles	CAS Mumber	ug/L	ug/Kg
4. 2-Chloronaphthalene	91-38-7	10	. 330
S. 2-Nitrospiline	88-74-4	50	1600
6. Dimethyl Phthalate	131-11-3	10	330
7. Acenaphthylene	208-96-8	10	330
8. 3-Nitrosniline	99-09-2	50	1600
9. Acenaphthene	83-32-9	10	330
70. 2,4-Dimitrophenol	51-28-5	50	1600
11. 4-Nitrophenol	100-02-7	50	1600
72. Dibenzofuran	132-64-9	10	330
73. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	121-14-2	10	330
74. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	606-20-2	10	33 0 .
75. Diethylphthalate	84-66-2	10	220
76. 4-Chlorophenyl Phenyl			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
ether	7005-72-3	10	330
77. Fluorene	86-73-7	10	330
78. 4-Nitroeniline	100-01-6	30	1600
79. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	534-52-1	5 0	1600
80. W-mitrosodiphenylamine	86-30-6	10	330
81. 4-Bromophenyl Phenyl ether	101-55-3	10	330
82. Hexachlorobenzene	118-74-1	10	330
83. Pentachlorophenol	87-86-5	50	1600
84. Phenanthrene	85-01-8	10	330
85. Anthracene	120-12-7	10	330
86. Di-n-butylphthelate	84-74-2	10	330
87. Fluoranthene	206-44-0	10	330
88. Pyrene	129-00-0	10	. 330
89. Butyl Benzyl Phthalate	85-68-7	10	330
90. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	91-94-1	. 20	660
91. Benzo(a)anthracene	36- 55 - 3	10	330
92. bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	117-81-7	10	330
93. Chrysene	218-01-9	10	330
94. Di-p-octyl Phthelate	117-84-0	10	330
95. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	205-99-2	10	330
96. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	207-08-9	10	330
97. Benzo(a)pyrene	50-32-8	10	330

		Det	ection Limits*	
Semi-Volatiles	CAS Number	Low Water ^c	Low Soil/Sediment	
	_		•	
98. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	193-39-5	10	. 330	
99: Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	53-70-3	10	33 0	
100. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	191-24-2	10	330	

^{*}Medium Water Contract Required Detection Limits (CRDL) for Semi-Volatile MSL Compounds are 100 times the individual Low Water CRDL.

Medium Soil/Sediment Contract Required Detection Limits (CRDL) for Semi-Volatile HSL Compounds are 60 times the individual Low Soil/Sediment CRDL.

	•	Det	ection Limits*
		Low Water	Low Soil/Sediment
Pesticides	CAS Number	ug/L	ug/Kg
101. alpha-BHC	319-84-6	0.05	
102. beta-BHC	319-85-7	0.05	8.0
103. delta-BHC	319-86-8	0.05	8.0
104. gamma-BHC (Lindane)	58-89-9	0.05	8.0
105. Reptachlor	76-44-8	0.05	8.0
106. Aldrin	309-00-2	0.05	8.0
107. Heptachlor Epoxide	1024-57-3	0.05	8.0
108. Endosulfan I	959-98-8	0.05	8.0
109. Dieldrin	60-57-1	0.10	16.0
110. 4,4'-DDE	72-55-9	0.10	16.0
111. Endrin	72-20 - 8	0.10	16.0
112. Endosulfan II	33213-65-9	0.10	16.0
113. 4.4'-DDD	72-54-8	0.10	16.0
114. Endosulfan Sulfate	1031-07-8	0.10	16.0
115. 4.4'-DDT	50-29-3	0.10	16.0
116. Endrin Ketone	53494-70-5	0.10	16.0
117. Methoxychlor	72-43-5 .	0.5	80.0
118. Chlordane	57-74 -9	0.5	80.0
119. Toxaphene	8001-35-2	1.0	160.0
120. AROCLOR-1016	12674-11-2	0.5	80.0
121. AROCLOR-1221	11104-28-2	0.5	80.0
122. AROCLOR-1232	11141-16-5	0.5	80.0
123. AROCLOR-1242	53469-21-9	0.5	80.0
124. AROCLOR-1248	12672-29-6	0.5	80.0
125. AROCLOR-1254	11097-69-1	1.0	160.0
126. AROCLOR-1260	11096-82-5	1.0	160.0

^{*}Hedium Water Contract Required Detection Limits (CRDL) for Pesticide HSL Compounds are 100 times the individual Low Water CRDL.

Hedium Soil/Sediment Contract Required Detection Limits (CRDL) for Pesticide HSL compounds are 15 times the individual Low Soil/Sediment CRDL.

^{*}Detection limits listed for soil/sediment are based on wet weight. The detection limits calculated by the laboratory for soil/sediment, calculated on dry weight basis, as required by the contract, will be higher.

^{**} Specific detection limits are highly matrix dependent. The detection limits listed herein are provided for guidance and may not always be achievable.

TABLE I

Task: Analysis of soil extracts for seven organochloride hydrocarbons, 3 of which are currently HSL compounds and 4 of which are not. To be analyzed using GC/EC and GC/MS.

Common read	Requested Limit for GC/EC (ug/l)	Requested Limit for
Compound	GC/EC (UU/I)	GC/MS (ug/1)
Hexachlorobenzene *	0.05	1.5
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene *	0.10	2.0
Hexachlorobutadiene *	0.05	1.0
Hexachloronorboradiene	0.05	1.0
Octachlorocyclopentene	0.05	1.0
Heptachloronorborene	0.05	1.0
Chlordene	0.05	1.0

^{*} HSL Compounds

TABLE II

QC LEVEL OF EFFORT FOR CLP ANALYTICAL SERVICES

Method of Analysis	Lab Blanks	Spikes or Surrogates/Spikes	Lab Duplicates	Matrix Spike Duplicate
GC/MS	One per set of samples or a min-imum of 1 in 10	Surrogates added to each sample and matrix spikes added to one sample per set	NR	One per set of samples or a minimum of 1 in 1
GC/EC	One per set of samples or a min-	One spike per set of samples or a minimum of 1 in 10	One per set of samples or a min-	One per set of samples or a minimum of 1 in 1

PHONE: (703) 557-2490 or FTS-557-2490

SAS Number

SAS/9

SPECIAL ANALYTICAL SERVICES Regional Request

ALKALINITY ANALYSIS IN WATER

Regional Tran	smittai	Telephone Request
•. EPA Region and	d Site Name: Skin	nner Landfill. Region V Dennis Wesoloski
. Regional Repr	esentative: D	<u>Dennis Wesoloski</u>
Telephone Num	ber: (312) <u>8</u> 1	886–1971
. Date of Reques	t:	
the Uncontrolled Halaboratory capability pplicable. Incomp	szardous Waste Di y for your reque liete or erroneous Please continue re	of your request for Special Analytical Services under Dumpsite Program. In order to most efficiently obtain est, please address the following considerations, if is information may result in delay in the processing response on additional sheets, or attach supplementary
1. General descrip	otion of analytical	ol service requested:
1. Analysis for	r alkalinitu	
2. ALLYSIS IO	-	
fractions; whe	ther organics or	units involved (specify whether whole samples or inorganics; whether aqueous or soil and sediments; high concentration):
	22 groundwat	ater samples, low concentration,
2 of which		
2 of which a	are duplicates and	i Z are blanks
3. Purpose of an NPDES, etc.)		whether Superfund (Remedial or Enforcement), RCRA,
Superfund RI/FS	activity at fund	l - lead site
		: Weeks of August 1 and August 8, 1986
5. Estimated date	e(s) and method (of shipment: Weeks of August 1 and August 8, 1986 Air Express

	30 days or
	Analytical protocol required (attach copy if other than a protocol currently used in this program):
	(1) EPA Method 310.1 or (2) "Standard Methods." 16th Edition, Method 403 Report lab pH
	values with sample results.
	Check for oil or grease coating on electrode.
-	Special technical instructions (if outside protocol requirements, specify compound names, CAS numbers, detection limits, etc.):
	(1) Use potentioneric titrator (manual or
_	automated) to pH 4.5 for alkalinity concentrations ≥ 20 mg/l CaCO3.
	(2) For alkalinity values < 20 mg/l use section 6.3 of EPA Method 310.1. (3) Refrigerat
•	samples until analysis and validation of results. (4) Test samples within 1 week of
-	
	collection. (5) Do not use titrant volumes > 50 ml. Analysis must be completed within
•	
	7 days of sampling date. (6) Report starting pH.
_	7 days of sampling date. (6) Report starting pH.
	7 days of sampling date. (6) Report starting pH.
	Analytical results required (if known, specify format for data sheets, QA/QC reports Chain-of-Custody documentation, etc.). If not completed, format of results will be left to program discretion.
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Analytical results required (if known, specify format for data sheets, QA/QC reports Chain-of-Custody documentation, etc.). If not completed, format of results will be left to program discretion. Test procedure used will clearly be identified. Bench records tabulating order of titrates.
	Analytical results required (if known, specify format for data sheets, QA/QC reports Chain-of-Custody documentation, etc.). If not completed, format of results will be left to program discretion. Test procedure used will clearly be identified. Bench records tabulating order of titrate standardization, lab blanks, samples, lab control standards, etc., with resulting titrate volumes (or titrant read-outs), will be provided along with copies of worksheets used to calculate alkalinity results. All records of analysis and calculations must be legible.
	Analytical results required (if known, specify format for data sheets, QA/QC reports Chain-of-Custody documentation, etc.). If not completed, format of results will be left to program discretion. Test procedure used will clearly be identified. Bench records tabulating order of titrar standardization, lab blanks, samples, lab control standards, etc., with resulting titrary volumes (or titrant read-outs), will be provided along with copies of worksheets used to calculate alkalinity results. All records of analysis and calculations must be legible to labeled. List pH value of solution before titration, volume of acid at pH 4.5, and normalized.
_	Analytical results required (if known, specify format for data sheets, QA/QC reports Chain-of-Custody documentation, etc.). If not completed, format of results will be left to program discretion. Test procedure used will clearly be identified. Bench records tabulating order of titrar standardization, lab blanks, samples, lab control standards, etc., with resulting titrant volumes (or titrant read-outs), will be provided along with copies of worksheets used to calculate alkalinity results. All records of analysis and calculations must be legible a labeled. List pH value of solution before titration, volume of acid at pH 4.5, and norm. Other (use additional sheets or attach supplementary information, as needed): Of acid
_	Analytical results required (if known, specify format for data sheets, QA/QC reports Chain-of-Custody documentation, etc.). If not completed, format of results will be left to program discretion. Test procedure used will clearly be identified. Bench records tabulating order of titrar standardization, lab blanks, samples, lab control standards, etc., with resulting titrary volumes (or titrant read-outs), will be provided along with copies of worksheets used to calculate alkalinity results. All records of analysis and calculations must be legible labeled. List pH value of solution before titration, volume of acid at pH 4.5, and norm Other (use additional sheets or attach supplementary information, as needed): of acid

Please return this request to the Sample Management Office as soon as possible to expedite

Data Requirements		
Parameter:	Detection Limit	Precision Desired (18 or Conc.)
Alkalinity	20 mg/l CaCO ₃ for Hi-Level	110% for concentration >
		20 mg/1 Caco,
	•	
		•
•		
	•	
QC Requirements	P	
Audits Required . (1) Sample Spike-concentra-	Frequency of Audits	Limits* (% or Conc.)
tions will be > 30% of sample concentration but	l per sample set of #	\$5-115% Recovery of spike added
spiked sample shall not exceed 50 ml titrant		
(2) Titrant Standardization	Standardize titrant each	Weekly
(3) Lab Control Standard	week of analysis Samples tested at beginning	85-115% Recovery
(EPA QC Mineral Ref. sample	of each run and at evenly distributed intervals	
1 set of 2 samples)	during analysis	
(4) Duplicates	l per sample set of	±10% (High Level)
(5) Blanks	One for every 10 samples	± 5 mg/1 CaCO ₃ (High Lev
. *Action Required if Limits	are Exceeded:	
Notify: Dennis Wesoloski -	U.S. EPA	(312) 886-1971
-		
	•	•

HWI Sample Nanagement Office
1 O. Box 818, Alexandria, Virginia 22313
1.10NE: (703) 557-2490 or FTS-557-2490

SPECIAL ANALYTICAL SERVICES Regional Request

CHLORIDE ANALYSIS IN WATER

Regional Transmittal	Telephone Request
A. EPA Region and Site Name: Skin	ner , Region V
	Wesoloski
C. Telephone Number: (312) 886-19	71 Fair Value
. Date of Request:	
he Uncontrolled Hazardous Waste Dump laboratory capability for your request, pplicable. Incomplete or erroneous inf	our request for Special Analytical Services under site Program. In order to most efficiently obtain please address the following considerations, if formation may result in delay in the processing onse on additional sheets, or attach supplementary
General description of analytical se	rvice requested:
1. Analysis for chloride in water	
	Is involved (specify whether whole samples or ganics; whether aqueous or soil and sediments; concentration):
_	concentration, 2 of which are duplicates
and 2 are blanks	
3. Purpose of analysis (specify wheth NPDES, etc.):	ner Superfund (Remedial or Enforcement), RCRA,
Superfund RI/FS activity at fund - 1	ead site.
	eeks of August 1 and August 8, 1986
5. Estimated date(s) and method of s	hipment: Weeks of August 1 and Air Express August 8, 1986

_	30 days
	nalytical protocol required (attach copy if other than a protocol currently used in his program):
1	. EPA Method 325.1 (Colorimetric, Automated Ferricyanide, AAI), or
2	2. EPA Method 325.2 (Colorimetric, Automated Ferricyanide, AAII), or
3	3. EPA Method 325.3 (Titrimetric, Mercuric Nitrate), or
4	1. "Standard Methods", 15th edition, Methods 407 b c (Mercuric Nitrate, or Potentionetric
	Titrimetric Methods).
	Special technical instructions (if outside protocol requirements, specify compound names, CAS numbers, detection limits, etc.):
	Automated potentiometric titrators can be used for "Standard Methods" Method 407C
	Analytical results required (if known, specify format for data sheets, QA/QC reports, Chain-of-Custody documentation, etc.). If not completed, format of results will be left to program discretion.
	Test procedure used will be clearly identified. Bench records tabulating order of calibration
	standards (or titrant standardization), blanks, samples, lab control standards, etc., wit
	resulting absorbance (or titrant volume) or concentration read-outs, will be provided alo with copies of worksheets used to calculate cholride results. All records of analysis an
).	calculation must be legible. Other (use additional sheets or attach supplementary information, as needed):
١.	Name of sampling/shipping contact: Wendy Dewar
	Phone: (312) 786 - 0253

Please return this request to the Sample Management Office as soon as possible to expedite processing of your request for special analytical services. Should you have any processions

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency CLP Sample Management Office P. O. Box 818, Alexandria, Virginia 22313 PHONE: (703)/557-2490 or FTS/557-2490

SAS	Number	<u></u> 1

SPECIAL ANALYTICAL SERVICES Client Request

	Regional Trans	mittal Telephone Request
A.	EPA Region/Client:	v / Weston
8.	RSCC Representative	Dennis Wesoloski
С.	Telephone Number:	(312) 886-1971
D.	Date of Request:	
E.	Site Name:	Skinner Landfill
the you ern	Contract Laborator or request, please a oneous information	description of your request for Special Analytical Services under y Program. In order to most efficiently obtain laboratory capability funderess the following considerations, if applicable. Incomplete or may result in delay in the processing of your request. Please continue sheets, or attach supplementary information as needed.
1.	samples for seven	organochloride hydrocarbons, 3 of which are currently HSL compounds ot. To be analyzed using GC/EC and GC/MS.
2.	fractions; whether and whether low, i	mber of work units involved (specify whether whole samples or organics or inorganics; whether aqueous or soil and sediments; medium, or high concentration):
		duplicates and (A) are blanks
3.	Purpose of analys NPDES, etc.):	is (specify whether Superfund (Remedial or Enforcement), RCRA,
	Superfund RI/FS	·

Numb	per of days analysis and data required after laboratory receipt of samples:
3	O days
	lytical protocol required (attach copy if other than a protocol currently used in sprogram):
Pe	sticide Protocol (GC/EC) as per CLP SOW- wA25-J3% /and Base/Neutral/Acid extractabl
pre	otocol (GC/MS) as per CLP SOW-WA- J& M/J&39. Analyse for the compounds in Table I
in	itially using GC/EC according to SOW for pesticides. For any samples where compou
ar	e found in quantities greater than the requested detection limit for GC/MS (Table)
the	ese samples must be run using GC/MS according to SOW for A/B/N Fraction.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ado	cial technical instruction (if outside protocol requirements, specify compound mes, CAS numbers, detection limits, etc.): The following compounds should be ded to the IFB required calibration standards and metrix spike compounds for the method:
ado	thes, CAS numbers, detection limits, etc.): The following compounds should be led to the IFB required calibration standards and metrix spike compounds for the method: Hexachloronorboradiene
ado	the second compounds should be led to the IFB required calibration standards and metrix spike compounds for the method: Hexachloronorboradiene Octachlorocyclopentene
ado	Mes, CAS numbers, detection limits, etc.): The following compounds should be ded to the IFB required calibration standards and metrix spike compounds for the method: Hexachloronorboradiene Octachlorocyclopentene Heptachloronorborene
add eac An Ch	the compounds should be reduced to the IFB required calibration standards and metrix spike compounds for the method: Hexachloronorboradiene Octachlorocyclopentene Heptachloronorborene Chlordene alytical results required (if known, specify format for data sheets, OA/OC reports ain-of-Custody documentation, etc.). If not completed, format of results will be fit to program discretion.
add eac An Ch	the compounds should be reduced to the IFB required calibration standards and metrix spike compounds for the method: Hexachloronorboradiene Octachlorocyclopentene Heptachloronorborene Chlordene alytical results required (if known, specify format for data sheets, OA/OC reports of the program discretion.
add eac An Ch	the compounds should be reduced to the IFB required calibration standards and metrix spike compounds for the method: Hexachloronorboradiene Octachlorocyclopentene Heptachloronorborene Chlordene alytical results required (if known, specify format for data sheets, OA/OC reports ain-of-Custody documentation, etc.). If not completed, format of results will be fit to program discretion.

I. DATA REQUIREMENTS

	Parameter:	Detection Limit	Precision Desired (+% or Conc.)
	See Table I		±20 for both methods
ι.	QC REQUIREMENTS		
	Audits Required	Frequency of Audits	Limits* (% or Conc.)
]	M <u>atrix Spike/Matrix Spik</u> e	One per 10 samples or	35%-135%
į	Duplicate	less	
	Laboratory Blank	One per 10 samples	As per IFB
		or less	
	Surrogates	As per IFB	
	Summarized on Tuble II		
II.	ACTION REQUIRED IF LIMITS ARE	E EXCEEDED:	•
	Contact Donnie Woodlooki -	Region V EPA (2121 004 1071
	contact bennis wesoroski -	REPTOR V EPA (312) 880-1971

Please return this request to the Sample Management Office as soon as possible to expedite processing of your request for special analytical services. Should you have any questions or need any assistance, please call the Sample Management Office.

S. Environmental Proto P Sample Management Of O. Box 818, Alexandr IONE: (703)/557-2490 of	ffice a. Virginia 22313	SAS Number
	SPECIAL ANALYTICAL SERVICE Client Request	:s
Regional Trans	mittal Telephone Requ	uest
. EPA Region/Client:	V / WESTON	
. RSCC Representative	Dennis Wesoloski	,
. Telephone Number:	(312) 886-1971	
. Date of Request:	August 10, 1986	
. Site Name:	Skinner Landfill	
the Contract Laborator your request, please a erroneous information response on additional	y Program. In order to most effi ddress the following consideration may result in delay in the process sheets, or attach supplementary	
the Contract Laboratory your request, please a erroneous information of response on additional 1. General description	y Program. In order to most effi ddress the following consideration may result in delay in the process sheets, or attach supplementary n of analytical service requested	iciently obtain laboratory capabilions, if applicable. Incomplete or ssing of your request. Please cont information as needed. d: Analysis of groundwater
the Contract Laborator, your request, please a erroneous information response on additional 1. General descriptions amples for seven	y Program. In order to most effi ddress the following consideration may result in delay in the process sheets, or attach supplementary n of analytical service requested	iciently obtain laboratory capabilions, if applicable. Incomplete or ssing of your request. Please cont information as needed. d:
the Contract Laborator, your request, please a erroneous information response on additional 1. General description samples for seven and 4 which are not fractions; whether and whether low, seven are lower l	y Program. In order to most effiddress the following consideration and result in delay in the processheets, or attach supplementary nof analytical service requested organochloride hydrocarbons. 3 of ot. To be analyzed using GC/EC and ot organics or inorganics; whether medium, or high concentration):	iciently obtain laboratory capabilions, if applicable. Incomplete or ssing of your request. Please cont information as needed. d: Analysis of groundwater f which are currently HSL compounds and GC/MS. ify whether whole samples or aqueous or soil and sediments;
the Contract Laborator, your request, please a serroneous information response on additional 1. General description samples for seven and 4 which are not fractions; whether and whether low, so the property of the province of the province of the contractions of the province of the contractions of the contraction of the contra	y Program. In order to most efficients the following consideration may result in delay in the process sheets, or attach supplementary n of analytical service requested organochloride hydrocarbons. 3 of ot. To be analyzed using GC/EC and other of work units involved (spectrogramics or inorganics; whether medium, or high concentration): amples. low concentration.	iciently obtain laboratory capabilions, if applicable. Incomplete or ssing of your request. Please cont information as needed. d: Analysis of groundwater f which are currently HSL compounds and GC/MS.
the Contract Laborator, your request, please a serroneous information response on additional 1. General description samples for seven and 4 which are not fractions; whether and whether low, so the property of the province of the province of the contractions of the province of the contractions of the contraction of the contra	y Program. In order to most effiddress the following consideration and result in delay in the processheets, or attach supplementary nof analytical service requested organochloride hydrocarbons. 3 of ot. To be analyzed using GC/EC and ot organics or inorganics; whether medium, or high concentration):	iciently obtain laboratory capabilions, if applicable. Incomplete or ssing of your request. Please cont information as needed. d: Analysis of groundwater f which are currently HSL compounds and GC/MS. ify whether whole samples or aqueous or soil and sediments;
the Contract Laborator, your request, please a serroneous information response on additional 1. General description samples for seven and 4 which are not fractions; whether and whether low, so groundwater so (2) of which are	y Program. In order to most efficients the following consideration may result in delay in the process sheets, or attach supplementary n of analytical service requested organochloride hydrocarbons. 3 of ot. To be analyzed using GC/EC and other of work units involved (spectrogramics or inorganics; whether medium, or high concentration): amples. low concentration.	iciently obtain laboratory capabilions, if applicable. Incomplete or ssing of your request. Please cont information as needed. d: Analysis of groundwater f which are currently HSL compounds and GC/MS. Sify whether whole samples or adueous or soil and sediments;

Estimated date(s) of collection: Weeks of August 18 and August 25, 1986
Estimated date(s) and method of shipment: Weeks of August 18 and August 25, 1986
Number of days analysis and data required after laboratory receipt of samples:
30 days
Analytical protocol required (attach copy if other than a protocol currently used in this program):
Analyze for the compounds in Table I using GC/EC. Extraction of pesticide (GC/EC) analysis performed according to IFB WA J838/839. For analysis, lab should use various temperature programs and combinations of dissimilar capillary columns to achieve resolution of all seven compounds to a level of less than a 25% valley. Lab must use a second dissimlar column for confirmation. For any
samples where compounds are found in quantities greater than requested detection
limit for GC/MS (Table I) these samples must be run using GC/MC according to IFB
WA J 838/839 for Acid/Base/Neutral fraction. Quantitation should be on the
the following compounds should be chromatographed separately on both columns and then mixed and run as a standard mix to show the resolution of the chromatography.
A three point calibration must be run as per IFB:
Hexachloronorboradiene
Ochchlorocyclopentene Heptachloronorborene
Chlordene
For samples of sufficient concentration to be analyzed by GC/MS, a three point calibration must be done using the mix of compounds. For both methods, internal standards(see attact
Analytical results required (if known, specify format for data sheets, OA/OC reports, Chain-of-Custody documentation, etc.). If not completed, format of results will be left to program discretion.
Lab must submit all spectra, calibration forms etc. as per IFB WA 85 J838/839 successful
combinations of temperature programs and columns should be identified and accompanied by all IFB required data
Other (use additional sheets or attach supplementary information, as needed):
Name of sampling/shipping contact: Wendy Dewar
Phone: 312 786 1313

8. Continued

must be used as per IFB ** The above compounds added to the matrix spike at 5% the ditection limit

TABLE 1

RESIDENTIAL WELLS TO BE SAMPLED IN THE VICINITY OF THE SKINNER LANDFILL

- o Binder (2 locations)
- o Brotherton
- o Cozzort
- o Hancock
- o Hoff
- o McDaniel
- o Meade
- o Poff
- o Widmeyer

TABLE 2

ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS FOR GROUNDWATER
SAMPLES COLLECTED FROM RESIDENTIAL WELLS
IN THE VICINITY OF THE SKINNER LANDFILL

<u> Laboratory Parameters</u>	Investigative <u>Samples</u>	Duplicate <u>Samples</u>	Blank Samples	<u>Total</u>
HSL Acid extractables and base/neutral extractables	10	1	1	12
HSL Pesticides and PCBs	10	1	1	12
Additional pesticides*	10	1	1	12
HSL Volatile Organics	10	1	1	12
HSL Metals and Major Cations	10	1	ı	12
Cyanide (unfiltered samples)	10	1	1	12
Minerals (alkalinity, chlorid sulfate)	le, 10	1	1	12
Nutrients (ammonia, nitrate-nitrite)	10	1	ı	12

^{*} Four non-HSL pesticide compounds: hexachloronorboradiene, octachlorocyclopentene, heptachloronorborene, chlordene.

TABLE 3

ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS FOR GROUNDWATER
SAMPLES COLLECTED FROM
MONITORING WELLS AT THE SKINNER LANDFILL

<u>Laboratory Parameters</u>	Investigative <u>Samples</u>	Duplicate <u>Samples</u>	Blank <u>Samples</u>	<u>Total</u>
RAS Organics package including 30 tentatively identified parameters	ng 18	2	2	22
RAS Inorganics/metals packag from CLP (filtered samples)	e 18	2	2	22
RAS Cyanide (filtered sample	s) 18	2	2	22
SAS Additional pesticides*	18	2	2	22
SAS Minerals (alkalinity, chloride, sulfate)	18	2	2	22
SAS Nutrients (ammonia, nitrate-nitrite	18	2	2	22

^{*} Four non-HSL pesticide compounds: hexachloronorboradiene, octachlorocylcopentene, heptachloronorborene, chlorofine.

OKODIN

APPENDIX 2

SAS REQUEST FORMS

METHOD DETECTION LIMITS FOR ORGANICS FROM CRL (Continued)

BASE/NEUTRAL AND ACID EXTRACTABLE COMPOUNDS

PARAMETER	CAS #	METHOD* DETECTION LIMIT (ug/1)
ANILINE	62-53-3	1.5
BIS (2-CHLOROETHYL) ETHER	111-44-4	1.5
PHENOL	108-95-2	2.0
2-CHLOROPHENOL	95-57-8	2.0
1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	541-73-1	2.0
1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	106-46-7	2.0
1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	95-50-1	2.5
BENZYL ALCOHOL	100-51-6	2.0
BIS (2-CHLOROLSOPROPYL) ETHER	118-60-1	2.5
2-METHYLPHENOL	95-48-7	1.0
HEXACHLOROETHANE	67-72-1	2.0
N-NITROSODIPROPYLAMINE	621-64-1	1.5
NITROBENZENE	98-85-3	2.5
4-METHYLPHENOL	108-39-4	1.0
ISOPHORONE	78-59-1	2.5
2-NITROPHENOL	88-75-5	2.0
2,4-DIMETHYLPHENOL	105-67-9	2.0
BIS (2-CHLOROETHOXY) METHANE	111-91-1	2.5
2,4-DICHLOROPHENOL	120-83-2	2.0
1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	120-82-1	2.0
NAPHTHALENE	91-20-3	2.0
4-CHLOROANILINE	106-47-8	2.0
HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE	87-68-3	2.5
BENZOIC ACID	65-85-0	(30)
2-METHYLNAPHTHALENE	91-57-6	2.0
4-CHLORO-3-METHYLPHENOL	59-50-7	1.5
HEXACHLOROCYCLOPENTADIENE	77-47-4	2.0
2,4,5-TRICHLOROPHENOL	95-95-4	1.5
2,4,6-TRICHLOROPHENOL	88-06-2	1.5
2-CHLORONAPHTHALENE	91-58-7	1.5
ACENAPHTHYLENE	208-96-8	1.5
DIMETHYL PHTHALATE	131-111-3	1.5
2,6-DINITROTOLUENE	606-20-2	1.0
ACENAPHTHENE	83-32-9	1.5
3-NITROANILINE	99-09-2	2.5

^{*} In Reagent Water

NOTE: Method Blank Limit in Reagent Water is 2x Detection Limit Values in Parenthesis are estimated.

METHOD DETECTION LIMITS FOR INORGANICS FROM CRL

Parameter	Method Detection Limit* (ug/l)	Upper Limit of Working Range Without Dilution*
Aluminum	80	1×10^6
Chromium	8	2 x 104
Barium	5	2 x 104
Beryllium	ĭ	2 × 104
Cobalt	6	2 x 104
Copper	6	2 x 104
Iron	80	1 × 106
Lithium	10	2 × 104
Nickel	15	2 × 104
Manganese	5	2 x 104
Molybdenum	10	2 x 10 ⁷ 2 x 10 ⁶
Zinc	40	1 × 106
Boron	80	2 × 104
Vanadium	5	2 x 104
Silver	ž	1 × 10 ⁴
Arsenic	3 2 2 2 2	30
Antimony	2	30
Selenium	2	30
Thallium	2	30
Mercury	.1	2,0
Tin	40	
Strontium	10	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \times 10^4 \\ 2 \times 10^4 \end{array}$
Titanium	25	2 x 104
Vanadium	5	2 x 104
Yttrium	5	2 x 104
Calcium	500	1 x 106
Potassium	2000	1 x 106
Magnesium	100	2 x 105
Sodium	1000	1 × 106
Cadmium	2	2 × 10 ⁴
Lead	ž	30 (AA), 2 x 10 ⁴ (ICP)
Cyanide	5	200
Alkalinity (CaCO ₃)	5000	200 3 x 105 2 × 105
Chloride	3000	3 x 105 2 x 105
Fluoride	100	4 x 103
Sulfate	4000	2 x 105 4 x 103 3 x 10 ⁵
Ammonia Nitrogen	.1	10
TKN	100	10 ₅ 1 × 10 ⁵
Nitrate and Nitrite	.1	105
TOC	3000	1 x 10 ⁵
Total Phosphorous	50	4 x 10 ³
Chemical Oxygen Demand	3000	1 x 10 ⁵ 4 x 10 ³ 4 x 10 ⁵
TDS	2 x 10 ⁴	N/A
TSS	5000	N/A

^{*} In Reagent Water

METHOD DETECTION LIMITS FOR ORGANICS FROM CRL (Continued)

BASE/NEUTRAL AND ACID EXTRACTABLE COMPOUNDS (Continued)

PARAMETER	CAS #	METHOD* DETECTION LIMIT (ug/1)
DIBENZOFURAN	132-64-9	1.0
2,4-DINITROPHENOL	51-28-5	(15)
2,4-DINITROTOLUENE	121-14-2	1.0
FLUORENE	86-73-7	1.0
4-NITROPHENOL	100-02-7	1.5
4-CHLOROPHENYL PHENYL ETHER	7005-72-3	1.0
DIETHYL PHTHALATE	84-66-2	1.0
4,6-DINITRO-2-METHYLPHENOL	534-52-1	(15)
1,2-DIPHENYLHYDRAZINE (AZOBENZENE)	122-66-7	1.0
N-NITROSODIPHENYLAMINE AND	100-01-6	3.0
DIPHENYLAMINE		
4-NITROANILINE	100-01-6	3.0
4-BROMOPHENYL PHENYL ETHER	101-55-3	1.5
HEXACHLOROBENZENE	118-74-1	1.5
PENTACHLOROPHENOL	87-86-5	2.0
PHENANTHRENE	85-01-8	1.0
ANTHRACENE	120-12-7	2.5
DI-n-BUTYL PHTHALATE	84-74-2	2.0
FLUORANTHENE	206-44-0	1.5
PYRENE	129-00-0	1.5
BUTYL BENZYL PHTHALATE	85-68-7	3.5
CHRYSENE**	218-01-9	
BENZO (a) ANTHRACENE**	56-55-3	1.5
BIS (2-ETHYLHEXYL) PHTHALATE	117-81-7	1.0
DI-n-OCTYL PHTHALATE	117-84-0	1.5
BENZO (b) FLUORANTHENE***	205-99-2	
BENZO (k) FLUORANTHENE***	207-08-9	1.5
BENZO (a) PYRENE	193-39-5	2.0
INDENO (1,2,3-cd) PYRENE	193-39-5	3.5
DIBENZO (a.h) ANTHRACENE	53-70-3	2.5
BENZO (ghi) PERYLENE	191-24-2	4.0
2-NITROANILINE	88-74-4	1.0

Note: Values in parameters are estimated

^{*} In Reagent Water

^{**} These two parameters reported as a total

^{***} These two parameters reported as a total

Detection Limits for RAS Inorganics from CLP

Element	Contract Required Detection Level ¹ , ² (ug/L)
Aluminum	200
Antimony	60
Arsenic	10
Barium	200
Beryllium	5
Cadmium	5
Calcium	5000
Chronium	10
Cobalt	Su
Copper	25
Iron	100
Lead	5
Magnesium	5000
Manganese	15
Hercury	0.2
Nickel	40
Potassium	5000 .
Selenium	5
Silver	10
Sodium .	5000
Thellium	· 10
Vanadium	50
Zinc	20
Cyanide	10

1: Any analytical method specified in SOW Exhibit D may be utilized as long as the documented instrument or method detection limits meet the Contract Required Detection Level (CRDL) requirements. Higher detection levels may only be used in the following circumstance:

If the sample concentration exceeds two times the detection limit of the instrument or method in use, the value may be reported even though the instrument or method detection limit may not equal the contract required detection level. This is illustrated in the example below:

For lead: Method in use - ICP Instrument Detection Limit (IDL) = 40 Sample concentration = 85 Contract Required Detection Level (CRDL) .= 5

The value of 85 may be reported even though instrument detection limit is greater than required detection level. The instrument or method detection limit must be documented as described in Exhibit E.

2: These CRDL are the instrument detection limits obtained in pure water that must be met using the procedure in Exhibit E. The detection limits for samples may be considerably higher depending on the sample matrix.

METHOD DETECTION LIMITS FOR ORGANICS FROM CRL (Continued)

PESTICIDES AND PCBS

PESTICIDES MID FORS		METHOD* DETECTION
0.40.44FTP0		LIMIT
PARAMETER	CAS #	ug/l
- ALDRIN	309-00-2	0.005
alpha BHC	319-84-6	(0.010)
beta BHC	319-85-7	(0.005)
delta BHC	319-86-8	(0.005)
─ gama BHC (LINDANE)	58-89-9	0.005
CHLORADANE	57-74-9	(0.020)
4,4'-DDD	72-54-8	(0.020)
4,4'DDE	72-55-9	(0.005)
- 4,4'-DDT	50-29-3	0.020
DIELDRIN	60-57-1	0.010
- ENDOSULFAN I	959-98-8	0.010
ENDOSULFAN II	33213-65-9	0.010
ENDOSULFAN SULFATE	1031-07-8	(0.10)
- ENDRIN	72-20-8	0.010
ENDRIN ALDEHYDE	7421-93-4	(0.030)
ENDRIN KETONE	53494-70-5	(0.030)
- HEPTACHLOR	76-44-8	0.030
HEPTACHLOR EPOXIDE	1024-57-3	0.005
- 4,4'-METHOXYCHLOR	72-43-5	0.020
TOXAPHENE	8001-35-2	(0.25)
PCB-1242	53459-21-9	(0.10)
PCB-1248	12672-29-6	(0.10)
PCB-1254	11097-69-1	(0.10)
PCB-1260	11096-82-5	(0.10)

Note: Values in parentheses are estimated.

^{*} In Reagent Water

Data Requirements	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Parameter:	Detection Limit	Precision Desired
	•	(±8 or Conc.)
Chloride in water	3 - 5 mg/l	Duplicates are within 1 10%
		for concentrations exceeding 20 mg/l at 95% confidence
		level or duplicates differe are < 2 mg/l at concentration
		₹ 20 mg/l. Results reporte the nearest mg/l or 2 signi
-		figures for concentrations exceeding 100 mg/l.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
samples shall not excee QC Requirements Audits Required	d working concentration range of Frequency of Audits	sample concentration, but spike standard curve. Limits* (% or Conc.)
1. Sample Spike	One for every 10 samples	85-115% Recovery of spike
2. Lab Control Standar 1 set of 2 EPA QC 1	Iab control standards ar linera, to be tested at beginning	85-115% Recovery
reference standards	and end of run AND EVE	
3. Lab Duplicates	One for every 10 sample:	110% or less than 2 mg/l
·	One for every to sample:	
4. Lab Blanks	One for every 10 samples	3 mg/l
4. Lab Blanks		3 mg/l
4. Lab Blanks		3 mg/l
		3 mg/l
	One for every 10 samples	3 mg/l
*Action Required if Li	One for every 10 samples mits are Exceeded:	3 mg/l
	One for every 10 samples mits are Exceeded:	3 mg/l
*Action Required if Li	One for every 10 samples mits are Exceeded:	3 mg/l

	***	3611	ipie na	Briagement U	llice	
r	.0	. Bo	× 111	anagement U , Alexandria,	, Virginia	2231
				557-2490 or		

SPECIAL	ANALYT	TICAL	SERVICES
1	Regional	Reque	est

SULFATE ANALYSIS IN WATER

	Regional Transmittal Telephone Request
۸.	EPA Region and Site Name: Skinner Landfill, Region V
•	Regional Representative: Dennis Wesoloski ,
	Telephone Number: (312) 886-1971
١.	Date of Request:
he labo pp if y info	se provide below a description of your request for Special Analytical Services under Uncontrolled Hazardous Waste Dumpsite Program. In order to most efficiently obtain ratory capability for your request, please address the following considerations, if licable. Incomplete or erroneous information may result in delay in the processing our request. Please continue response on additional sheets, or attach supplementary rmation as needed.
• .	General description of analytical service requested:
	1. Analysis for sulfate
	Definition and number of work units involved (specify whether whole samples or fractions; whether organics or inorganics; whether aqueous or soil and sediments;
	and whether low, medium, or high concentration):
	22 groundwater samples, low concentrations, 2 of which are
	duplicates and 2 are blanks
3.	Purpose of analysis (specify whether Superfund (Remedial or Enforcement), RCRA, NPDES, etc.):
	Superfund RI/FS activity at fund - lead site
ų	Estimated date(s) of collection; Weeks of August 1 and August 8, 1986
5.	Estimated date(s) and method of shipment: Weeks of August 1 and Air Express
	August 8, 1986
	August 6, 1700

	30 days
	Analytical protocol required (attach copy if other than a protocol currently used in this program):
_	(1) EPA Method 375.2 (Automated Methyl Thymol Blue) or (2) EPA Method 375.4 (Turbidimetr
	Use 375.4 for sample that contain < 500 mg/l silica and sulfate not exceeding 40 mg/l.
_	
	Special technical instructions (if outside protocol requirements, specify compound names, CAS numbers, detection limits, etc.):
	Sample aliquots will be refrigerated
	until analysis and validation of results. (2) Turbidity blank correction will be done
	for each sample aliquot if Method 375.4 is used. (3) No sulfate concentration exceeding
	40 mg/l will be measured if Method 375.4 is used. If sulfate exceeds 40 mg/l, sample
	aliquot will be diluted to between 20 and 40 mg/L Analysis must be completed
	within 28 days of the sampling date
_	
	Analytical results required (if known, specify format for data sheets, QA/QC reports Chain-of-Custody documentation, etc.). If not completed, format of results will be left to program discretion.
_	Test procedure used will be clearly identified. Bench records tabulating order of
_	calibration standards, lab blanks, samples, lab control standards, etc., will be provide
_	along with copies of worksheets used to calculate sulfate results. All records of analy and calculations must be legible.
•	Other (use additional sheets or attach supplementary information, as needed):
•	
	Phone: (312) 786 - 0253

Please return this request to the Sample Management Office as soon as possible to expedite

1. Data Requirements

Detection Limit	Precision Desired (28 or Conc.)
. 3 - 5 mg/l	Duplicates are within 110%
***	for concentrations exceeding
	20 mg/l (at 95% confidence
46	level)or duplicates differ-
	ences are < 2 mg/l. Results
	are reported to nearest mg/l
	or 2 significant figures
	for concentrations exceeding 100 mg/1.
Frequency of Audits	Limits* (% or Conc.)
1 per 10 samples	85-115% Recovery of spike
or loss	added
to be tested at beginning and end of run AND EVERY	&R 85-115%
	R&D < 10% or < 2 mg/l, which is ever less
One for every 10 samples	
are Exceeded:	
- U.S. EPA	(312) 886-1971
	Frequency of Audits 1 per 10 samples or less Lab control standards are to be tested at beginning and end of run AND EVERY One for every 10 samples One for every 10 samples one for every 10 samples

WI Sample Nanagement Office

). Box 818, Alexandria, Virginia 22313

mONE: (703) 557-2490 or FTS-557-2490

SAS Number

SAS/11

SPECIAL ANALYTICAL SERVICES Regional Request

AMMONIA ANALYSIS IN WATER

<u>Z</u>	Regional Transmittal Telephone Request
	EPA Region and Site Name: Skinner Landfill. Region V
: .	Regional Representative: Dennis Wesoloski
2.	Telephone Number: (312)
•	Date of Request:
lue labo upi	se provide below a description of your request for Special Analytical Services under Uncontrolled Hazardous Waste Dumpsite Program. In order to most efficiently obtain ratory capability for your request, please address the following considerations, If licable. Incomplete or erroneous information may result in delay in the processing our request. Please continue response on additional sheets, or attach supplementary rmation as needed.
١.	General description of analytical service requested:
	1. Analysis for Ammonia
. —	
2.	Definition and number of work units involved (specify whether whole samples or fractions; whether organics or inorganics; whether aqueous or soil and sediments; and whether low, medium, or high concentration):
<u> </u>	22 groundwater samples, low concentration, 2 of which
_	are duplicates and 2 are blanks
	are duplicates and 2 are blanks
3.	Purpose of analysis (specify whether Superfund (Remedial or Enforcement), RCRA, NPDES, etc.):
	Superfund RI/FS activity at fund - lead site
•	Estimated date(s) of collection: Weeks of August 1 and August 8,1986
5.	Estimated date(s) and method of shipment: Weeks of August 1 and Air Express
	August 8, 1986

	30 days
	Analytical protocol required (attach copy if other than a protocol currently used in this program):
	1. EPA Method 350.1 (Automated Phenate), or
	2. EPA Method 350.3 (Potentiometric, Ion Selective Electrode)
	Samples Aliquots will be preserved with 1 ml/1 H ₂ SO ₄
	Ammonia will be reported as mg/l N.
•	Special technical instructions (if outside protocol requirements, specify compound names, CAS numbers, detection limits, etc.):
	Sample aliquots will be refrigerated
	until analysis and validation of results. Sample holding time of 28 days after collection
,	
_	
-	
_	
•	Analytical results required (if known, specify format for data sheets, QA/QC reports, Chain-of-Custody documentation, etc.). If not completed, format of results will be left to program discretion.
	Test Procedure used will be clearly identified. Bench records tabulating order of calibr
	standards, lab blanks, samples, lab control standards, etc., with resulting absorbance,
	millivolts, or concentration read-outs, will be provided along with copies of worksheets
0.	to calculate ammonia results. All records of analysis and calculation must be legible. Other (use additional sheets or attach supplementary information, as needed):
	Name of sampling simpling contact. Wendy Deway COM
-	v
	Phone: (312) 786-0253

Please return this request to the Sample Management Office as soon as possible to expedite

. Data Requirements

Parameter:	Detection Limit	Precision Desired (28 or Conc.)
Ammonia	0.10 mg/l N	Ouplicates are within ±10% (or less than 0.1 mg/l N at concentration less than 1 mg/l N) at 95% confidence level. Results will be reported to nearest 0.05 mg
		for concentrations less than 1 mg/l N and to 2 significant figures for concentrations exceeding 1 mg/l N.
I QC Requirements	•	
Audits Required	Frequency of Audits	Limits* (% or Conc.) 85-115% Recovery of spike
1. Sample Spike 2. Lab Control Standard - 1 Set of 2 EPA QC Nutrie Reference samples (conc. \$1 and \$2)	Samples tested at beginning and EVERY 10 SAMPLES	added. 85-115% Recovery or 0.10 mg/l N error
3. Lab Duplicates	One for every 10 samples Evenly distributed throughout analysis	±10% or ≤ 0.10 mg/1 N
4. Lab Blank	One for every 10 samples	0.10 mg/1 N
1 *Action Required if Limits	are Exceeded:	
Notify: Dennis Wesqloski	- U.S. EPA	(312) 886-1971
		:
_		

*!WI Sample Management Office
*!O. Box 818, Alexandria, Virginia 22313
PHONE: (703) 557-2490 or FTS-557-2490

SAS Number

SAS/12

SPECIAL ANALYTICAL SERVICES Regional Request

NITRATE + NITRITE ANALYSI
_____IN WATER

X	Regional Transmittal Telephone Request	
١.	. EPA Region and Site Name: Skinner Landfill, Region V	
ð.	. Regional Representative: Dennis Wesologki .:	
C .	. Telephone Number: (312) 886-1971	
٥.		
the laboration of info	lease provide below a description of your request for Special Analytical Services the Uncontrolled Hazardous Waste Dumpsite Program. In order to most efficiently aboratory capability for your request, please address the following considerations, pplicable. Incomplete or erroneous information may result in delay in the process f your request. Please continue response on additional sheets, or attach supplementarion as needed.	obtain , If sing
1.	. General description of analytical service requested:	
	1. Analysis for Nitrate + Nitrite	
	-	
	2. Definition and number of work units involved (specify whether whole samples	or
	fractions; whether organics or inorganics; whether aqueous or soil and sedime and whether low, medium, or high concentration):	
	22 groundwater samples, low concentration, 2 of which	
	are duplicates and 2 are blank	
3.	 Purpose of analysis (specify whether Superfund (Remedial or Enforcement), RONDES, etc.): 	CRA,
	Superfund RI/FS activity at fund - lead site	
4.	4. Estimated date(s) of collection: Weeks of August 1 and August 8, 1986	
5.	5. Estimated date(s) and method of shipment: Weeks of August 1- and Air August 8, 1986	Express

-	30 days
	nalytical protocol required (attach copy if other than a protocol currently used in is program):
1	. EPA Method 353.1 (Colorimetric, Automated Hydrazine Reduction), or
2	EPA Method 353.2 (Colorimetric, Automated Cadmium Reduction), or
	EPA Method 353.3 (Colorimetric, Manual Cadmium Reduction)
	Sample Aliquots will be preserved with 1 ml/1 H ₂ SO ₄ .
1	Nitrate + Nitrite will be reported as mg/l N.
	pecial technical instructions (if outside protocol requirements, specify compound ames, CAS numbers, detection limits, etc.):
	Sample aliquots will be refrigerated until analysis and validation of results.
	Sample holding time of 30 days after collection.
•	Analytical results required (if known, specify format for data sheets, QA/QC reports, Chain-of-Custody documentation, etc.). If not completed, format of results will be left to program discretion.
	Test Procedures used will be clearly identified. Bench records tabulating order of calibr
	tion standards, lab control standards, lab blanks, samples, etc., with resulting absorbance
	or concentration read-outs, will be provided along with copies of worksheets used to calcu
).	nitrate + nitrite results. All records of analysis and calculation must be legible. Other (use additional sheets or attach supplementary information, as needed):
١.	Name of sampling/shipping contact: Wendy Dewar, CDM

Please return this request to the Sample Management Office as soon as possible to expedite processing of your request for special analytical services. Should you have any questions

Data Requirements

1.

arameter:	Detection Limit	Precision Desired (±1 or Conc.)
	·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
itrate + Nitrite	0.10 mg/1 N	Duplicates are within ± 10
		(or within ± 0.10 mg/l at
		concentrations less than 1 mg/1 N) at 95% confidence
		level. Results will be
		reported to the nearest 0.
		mg/1 N for concentration 1
		than 1.0 mg/1 N and to 2
		significant figures for concentrations exceeding
		1 mg/1 N.
Sample spike concentrat	ion will be greater than 30% of sa	ample concentration but spiker
sample shall not working Requirements	ng concentration of standard curve.	•
Audits Required	Frequency of Audits	Limits* (% or Conc.) 85-115% of Recovery of Spi
1. Sample Spike	One for every 10 samples	added. Average recovery 9
2. I set of 2 EPA OC 1	Nutrient Lab control samples are	85-115% Recovery or 0.10
Reference samples		
QC water supply Nitrate		ed
Fluoride reference same		
3. Lab Duplicates	One for every 10 samples	±10% or ≤ 0.1 mg/1 N
	One for every 10 samples Evenly spaced throughout analysis.	±10% or ≤ 0.1 mg/1 N
	Evenly spaced throughout analysis.	
3. Lab Duplicates	Evenly spaced throughout	±10% or ≤ 0.1 mg/l N 0.10 mg/l N
3. Lab Duplicates 4. Lab Blanks	Evenly spaced throughout analysis. One for every 10 samples	
3. Lab Duplicates 4. Lab Blanks *Action Required if Li	Evenly spaced throughout analysis. One for every 10 samples mits are Exceeded:	0.10 mg/1 N
3. Lab Duplicates 4. Lab Blanks	Evenly spaced throughout analysis. One for every 10 samples mits are Exceeded:	
3. Lab Duplicates 4. Lab Blanks *Action Required if Li	Evenly spaced throughout analysis. One for every 10 samples mits are Exceeded:	0.10 mg/l N
3. Lab Duplicates 4. Lab Blanks *Action Required if Li	Evenly spaced throughout analysis. One for every 10 samples mits are Exceeded:	0.10 mg/l N
3. Lab Duplicates 4. Lab Blanks *Action Required if Li	Evenly spaced throughout analysis. One for every 10 samples mits are Exceeded:	0.10 mg/l N
3. Lab Duplicates 4. Lab Blanks *Action Required if Li	Evenly spaced throughout analysis. One for every 10 samples mits are Exceeded:	0.10 mg/l N
3. Lab Duplicates 4. Lab Blanks *Action Required if Li	Evenly spaced throughout analysis. One for every 10 samples mits are Exceeded: Ski - U.S. EPA (312	0.10 mg/l N

Quality Assurance Project Plan Skinner Landfill Section: 2

Revision: 2 April 7, 1986 Page: 2-9 of 21

The environmental monitoring and measurement efforts covered by this QAPP are contained in the Study Area Survey, Source Characterization and Site Characterization elements.

2.3 SCHEDULE

The Skinner Landfill RI/FS was authorized September 26, 1984. The Draft Work Plan will be submitted to the U.S. EPA in July 1985. The anticipated date for submission of the Final Work Plan and related project plans to the U.S. EPA is mid-August.

Assuming 120 days for agency approval and PRP review of the work plan, the RI could begin in December with field work beginning soon thereafter. The initial field investigations are anticipated to require about 8 weeks to complete, and a second round of water sampling (groundwater and private water wells) will occur at least one month later, about early April 1986. Depending on the turnaround for analytical data from the CLP, the RI should be finished 4 to 5 months after the second round of sampling.

The FS will require approximately 5 months to complete. Following a three-week public comment period and agency review of the Draft Feasibility Study Report, approximately two months will be needed to prepare the conceptual design of the selected remedial action(s).

The total elapsed time from U.S. EPA approval of the work plan to submission of the final deliverables is estimated to be 17 months. A preliminary project schedule is shown in Figure 2-4. This schedule will be updated, as appropriate, throughout the RI/FS project.

2.4 DATA USAGE

The data obtained during this RI will be used to achieve the objectives outlined above (Subsection 2.2) within the scope and authority of CERCLA. Samples collected at the site will be analyzed for all parameters on the Hazardous Substances List (HSL) and for additional pesticides identified during previous sampling events to determine whether these materials are present. Lists of specific parameters are included in Subsection 2.6. The data obtained from sampling and analysis of private water wells will also be evaluated with respect to the National Interim Primary Drinking Water Standards, 40 CFR Part 141 Subpart B for information purposes only. RCRA characterication of wastes is not an objective of the current field investigation. An evaluation of the adequacy of the data for the uses described above will be performed as part of the RI Report.